

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.4446 of 2020
Date of Decision :06.07.2020

Harpreet Kaur and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Waraich, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the Criminal Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners illegally as also not to interfere in the married life of the petitioners at the behest of private respondents.
3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty on account of having performed marriage on 01.07.2020, against the wishes of respondent No.4 to 7. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners fulfill all the conditions stipulated under law to entitle them to get married, they are of marriageable age as date of birth of petitioner No.1 as per Aadhaar Card, Annexure P/1 is 03.06.1996 while year of birth petitioner No.2 as per Aadhaar Card Annexure P/2 is 1998. The petitioners have also attached marriage certificate, Annexure P/3 dated 01.07.2020. Learned counsel states that the petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P/4 dated 01.07.2020 to respondent No.2 and 3, for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken in respect thereto till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners

would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/4 dated 01.07.2020, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage. Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and having gone over the advance copy of the petition supplied, states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, in view of the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Lata Singh vs. State of UP and others, JT 2006 (6) SC, 173** but without commenting upon the age of the petitioners, validity of their marriage or the authenticity of the documents attached with the writ petition, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana, to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P/4 dated 01.07.2020, submitted by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible and take such action in respect thereto in accordance with law as may be warranted keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners. However, it is made clear that this order shall not bar any civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.07.2020
rajesh/Rajneesh