

208.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17511-2020

Date of decision: 22.07.2020

NITISH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, pending trial, in case FIR No.24 dated 27.02.2020 registered under Sections 326/307/451/427/120-B/148/149 of IPC at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon orders passed in **CRM-M-14436-2020** titled as **Arjun Kumar Versus State of Punjab** and **CRM-M-13941-2020** titled as **Jiwan Jyoti alias Joti Versus State of Punjab and another** dated 18.06.2020 to contend that the other co-accused have been admitted on bail by this Court and the case of the petitioner is at par with that of the co-accused. The petitioner is in custody since 13.04.2020.

He states that the matter has been compromised between the parties. He

refers to the compromise deed dated 09.05.2020 (Annexure P-2).

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate, which is taken on record. He has not disputed the fact that the similarly placed co-accused have been admitted on bail by this Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the given circumstances, further detention of the petitioner for an indefinite period, at this stage, is not warranted and he is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to the appropriate terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed off.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

22.07.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No