

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.4439 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision :06.07.2020

Manufida and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.

2. Prayer in the Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7 and for not harassing the petitioners on any false criminal complaint.

3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty on account of having performed marriage on 30.06.2020, against the wishes of respondent No.4 to 7. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners fulfill all the conditions stipulated under law to entitle them to get married, they are of marriageable age as year of birth of petitioner No.1 as per Aadhaar Card, Annexure P/2 is 01.01.2000 while that of petitioner No.2 as per Aadhaar Card Annexure P/3 is 01.01.1990. The petitioners have also attached marriage certificate, Annexure P/1 dated 30.06.2020. Learned counsel states that the petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P/4 dated 30.06.2020 to respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, Haryana, for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken in respect thereto till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/4

dated 30.06.2020, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage. Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and having gone over the advance copy of the petition supplied to her states that she has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, in view of the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Lata Singh vs. State of UP and others, JT 2006 (6) SC, 173** but without commenting upon the age of the petitioners, validity of their marriage or the authenticity of the documents attached with the writ petition, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh, Haryana, to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P/4 dated 30.06.2020, submitted by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible and take such action in respect thereto in accordance with law as may be warranted keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners. However, it is made clear that this order shall not bar any civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.07.2020
rajesh/rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No