

219 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28001 of 2020

Date of decision : October 16, 2020

Deepanshu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG., Haryana.

Mr. Parshant Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J (oral).

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 664 dated 13.12.2018 as well as consequential proceedings on account of a settlement dated 7.2.2020 (Annexure P-2) between the parties.

Vide order dated 16.9.2020 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 22.9.2020 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned District and Sessions Judge, Rewari, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and

out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned District and Sessions Judge, Rewari and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 16, 2020
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No