

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1983-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:02.07.2020**

Swati

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shakuntala

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Vipul Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Mohan Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The instant revision petition has been filed seeking setting aside of the order dated 22.06.2020 passed by the learned ACJ (Sr. Divn.) Sonipat.

Counsel contends that in terms of the impugned order, the petitioner has been restrained from entering in the matrimonial home.

Having heard counsel at length and having perused the pleadings on record, this Court is of the considered view that no interference at this stage is called for.

Perusal of the impugned order dated 22.06.2020 at Annexure P-1, Court in itself clarify that the matter was adjourned to 30.06.2020 and arguments on stay were to be addressed on such date. It was only for the interregnum that the defendant/present petitioner was restrained from entering in the house in question.

Counsel concedes that on 30.06.2020 arguments have been addressed and orders have been reserved.

Under such circumstances, the instant revision petition is disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to avail of her remedies in accordance with law, in the eventuality of any final order that may be passed which may be construed as detrimental to her interest.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

July 02, 2020
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No