

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33756 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 05, 2020

Anupreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. J.S. Mahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. G.P.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for respondents No.6 and 7.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks a direction to the official respondents to conclude the investigation in FIR No.12 dated 08.04.2017, under Sections 420, 498-A, 406, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Qadian, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

Counsel for the respondent-State would contend that the matter had been investigated thoroughly and it was found that the alleged accused persons had not committed the offence, as complained of and consequently, the matter was recommended to be cancelled and cancellation report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been prepared and filed in the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala as far back as 06.12.2017 and thereafter, notice

was issued to the complainant, who filed a protest petition, disagreeing with the cancellation report. The protest petition was partly allowed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala whereby, only husband has been summoned under Sections 406, 498-A of Indian Penal Code and respondent No.6 and 7 have been exonerated.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have also perused the order passed on the protest petition and find no ground is made out to pass any further order.

Disposed of, having rendered infructuous. However, in case, the petitioner herein has other remedies, she can avail the same in accordance with law.

February 05, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No