

CRM-M-17346-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17346-2020

Date of decision: 09.09.2020

Sanjeev Kumar @ Sanju

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Copy of status report dated 08.08.2020 by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, filed with the Registry, has been taken on record.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.859 dated 13.08.2019, registered at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, under Sections 406 and 420 IPC and Sections 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC (added later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that as per the prosecution version, co-accused, Parveen Sharma had duly applied for the home loan by submitting all the relevant documents and the bank manager had sent the same to the Panelled Advocate for verification and for getting the non-encumbrance certificate and thereafter, a loan of Rs.10 lakh had been

CRM-M-17346-2020

sanctioned. He further contends that the documents submitted by the co-accused, were verified and only then, the bank had sanctioned the loan, and therefore, no case is made out against the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer made in the present petition. He, on instructions from SI Subhash Chand, submits that co-accused, Rampal was arrested on 16.08.2020, and that there are three other FIRs having similar allegations of playing fraud with different banks for obtaining housing loans, registered against the petitioner in the year 2019, itself.

Upon this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in two other FIRs registered against the petitioner, he has since been enlarged on bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This is a second petition seeking regular bail. The first petition filed by petitioner was got dismissed as withdrawn on 06.03.2020.

There are serious allegations that the petitioner in connivance with co-accused and bank officials/officers has played fraud with the bank by presenting fake documents for obtaining housing loan. It appears that the petitioner is in the constant habit of playing fraud with different banks by forging documents.

As a result of the above discussion, I find that the petitioner is not entitled to the benefit of regular bail.

Dismissed.

09.09.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No