

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-4411-2020

Date of decision: 03.07.2020

Sushmita and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr.Puneet Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, APP, U.T. Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3.

Sushmita and Vinod Badgujr, the petitioners before this Court, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 to 6 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. They have placed on record marriage certificate and photographs, Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively, evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation, Annexure P-5 to Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the

petitioners, Annexure P-5 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

03.07.2020

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE