

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9039 of 2020

Date of Decision :02.07.2020

M/s Aman Fruits and Vegetables Trading Company Petitioner

versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Mandeep K. Sajjan, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing the respondents to add particulars of business i.e. '*Commission Agent*' in the license Annexure P/2 issued to the petitioner firm as also for directing the respondents to decide representations Annexure P/9 and P/12, dated 11.11.2019 and 28.05.2020 respectively as submitted by the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is doing business of sale, purchase and storage of fruits and vegetables, under license No. DC/AMB/UT/2016/ 308 on 03.11.2016 Annexure P/1 issued in terms of Section 10 of the Punjab State Agriculture Produce Markets Act, 1961 and the same is valid upto 31.03.2022. Learned counsel contends that M/s A.S. Trading Company and M/s Sonu Trading Company, applied for addition of business of '*Commission Agent*' to the Secretary, Chandigarh

State Agriculture Marketing Board, UT, Chandigarh and the same was acceded to vide Annexures P/3 and P/4 respectively whereupon the petitioner also made a similar request to the competent authority on 06.03.2020 but despite lapse of sufficient period of time, no action has been taken on the same despite representation Annexure P/9 dated 11.11.2019 to respondent No.2 followed by reminder Annexure P/12 but no decision has been taken on the same till date. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/9 dated 11.11.2019, in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

4. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/9 dated 11.11.2019, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.07.2020

rajesh/pushpinder	Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
	Whether reportable	: Yes/No