

215.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17522-2020(O&M)**

Date of decision: 10.09.2020

Anil

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

----

Present: Mr. Parveen Kaushik, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

----

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

**CRM-21938-2020**

Prayer in this application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for placing on record documents(Annexures P-4 to P-9 i.e. copy of MLR and orders of bail).

Application is allowed, as prayed for and the documents(Annexures P-4 to P-9) are permitted to be taken on record.

**CRM-M-17522-2020**

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.0279 dated 27.08.2019 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341, 506 of IPC (the offence under Section 307 IPC was added later on) at Police Station Arya Nagar, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that before insertion

of offence under Section 307 IPC, petitioner was on bail. However,

after addition of aforesaid offence under Section 307 IPC, the petitioner was taken into custody on 11.06.2020. He further submits that challan has been presented, but charges are yet to be framed.

Learned State Counsel does not dispute the custody period and addition of offence under Section 307 IPC. She submits that the injury attracting the offence under Section 307 IPC is attributed to the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner is in custody since 11.06.2020 and he was otherwise on bail. No other case has been pointed out against the petitioner. In view of prevailing COVID-19 epidemic, trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future. Thus, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

10.09.2020  
sanjeev

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |