

COCP No.1212 of 2020

Date of Decision : 26.08.2020

Kiran Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Vini Mahajan and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Himmat Singh Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab for respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for respondent No.3.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, is for taking action against the respondents for willful and intentional disobedience of order dated 26.09.2019, passed by this Court in CWP No.3023 of 2011 in case titled as '*Kiran Sharma versus State of Punjab and others.*'

3. Reply on behalf of respondent No.3 is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that orders with regard to seniority have been complied with while consequential benefits in respect thereto would be released to the petitioner within 06 weeks from today, subject to the final outcome of the application for recall of order dated 26.09.2019, passed in CWP No.3023 of 2011.

5. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he has no objection, if the contempt petition is disposed of, by directing respondent No.3 to adhere to the statement and to comply with order in its entirety by releasing the consequential benefits within 06 weeks from today, subject to the final orders, to be passed on the application for recalling of the said order.

6. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.3 to release the consequential benefits to the petitioner, in accordance with order dated 26.09.2019, passed in CWP No.3023 of 2011 in case titled as 'Kiran Sharma versus State of Punjab and others' within 06 weeks from today. However, this would be subject to the final orders to be passed on the application for recalling, which is stated to be pending adjudication.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

August 26, 2020
'Rajneesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No