

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9295-2020

Date of decision : 06.07.2020

Smt. Shachi Sanwaria

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present : Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P. Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India, with
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate, for Union of India.

RAJIV SHARMA, J.

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner has participated in the tendering process. The petitioner has not entered into agreement with the respondent department. The petitioner has primarily relied upon Clause 12 of the agreement. Needless to add that Clause 12 of the agreement would only be invoked if there was agreement entered into between the parties and case of the petitioner was also that she may not be blacklisted. However, it shall be open to the respondent department to take action in accordance with the terms and conditions of NIT. The petitioner was directed to enter into agreement within five days, which she has failed to do. We also make it clear that Clause 12 of the agreement is not attracted in this case at all on

the basis of prevailing pandemic.

Writ petition is dismissed.

However, when the list was exhausted, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for and was permitted to withdraw this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(**RAJIV SHARMA**)
JUDGE

July 06, 2020
ndj

(**HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No