

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9024-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.09.2020**

Abhik Chaudhary

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Ashish Deep Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Vikas Nagpal, Advocate for respondent No.5.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Challenge in the instant petition is to the order dated 22.01.2020 (Annexure P-4) as also a consequential communication dated 18.05.2020 at Annexure P-6.

It may be noticed at the very threshold that the impugned orders at Annexures P-4 and P-6 and as noticed hereinabove had been put to challenge by the petitioner before the Armed Force Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and the filing of the instant petition was sought to be justified on the premise that on account of the current Covid-19 Pandemic the AFT, is not functioning.

Learned counsel representing respondent No.1 has informed the Court today that physical hearing at the AFT Chandigarh Bench, is to

commence w.e.f. 26.09.2020.

In support of such assertion, counsel has forwarded in the WhatsApp group the order of the Registrar of AFT Chandigarh Bench.

In view of the above, there would be no occasion for this Court to examine the validity of the impugned orders at Annexures P-4 and P-6.

Writ petition is disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to make a mention/file an appropriate application for an early listing of the matter which he has already filed.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

September 23, 2020

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No