

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.4441 of 2020

Date of Decision :06.07.2020

Karmjeet Kaur @ Karamjit Kaur and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Narender Singh Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.

2. Prayer in the Criminal Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 read with Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 11 and for not harassing the petitioners on any false criminal complaint if lodged.

3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty on account of having performed marriage on 27.06.2020, against the wishes of respondent No.4 to 11. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners fulfill all the conditions stipulated under law to entitle them to get married, they are of marriageable age as year of birth of petitioner No.1 as per affidavit dated 27.06.2020 based on Aadhar card, Annexure P/1 is 08.06.1996 while that of petitioner No.2 as per Aadhaar Card Annexure P/2 is 1994. The petitioners have also attached marriage certificate, Annexure P/3 dated 27.06.2020 besides photographs of marriage, Annexures P/4 & P/5. Learned counsel states that the petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P/6 dated 27.06.2020 to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala, Punjab, for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken in respect thereto till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners

would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/6 dated 27.06.2020, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage. Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and having gone over the advance copy of the petition supplied to him states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, in view of the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Lata Singh vs. State of UP and others' JT 2006 (6) SC, 173 but without commenting upon the age of the petitioners, validity of their marriage or the authenticity of the documents attached with the writ petition, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2, i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala, Punjab, to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P/6 dated 27.06.2020, submitted by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible and take such action in respect thereto in accordance with law as may be warranted keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners. However, it is made clear that this order shall not bar any civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.07.2020
rajesh/rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No