

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17156-2020

Date of Decision: 16.11.2020

Jagsir Singh & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CRM-M-17172-2020

Bhupinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CRM-M-17279-2020

Ram Juari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. AG, Punjab.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of the aforesaid three petitions i.e. CRM-M-17156-2020 filed on behalf of Jagsir Singh & Sonu; CRM-M-17172-2020 filed on behalf of Bhupinder Singh and CRM-M-17279-2020 filed on behalf of Ram Juari seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.0166 dated 11.06.2020 at Police Station

Patran, District Patiala, under Sections 353, 186, 332, 148, 149 IPC and under Section 61(1) 14, 78(2) Excise Act.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that on 11.06.2020 during the course of patrolling, the police party signalled a car bearing registration No.DL-3CAD-5095 to stop. The driver instead of stopping the car, sped away. The car was chased by the police party and was stopped. The driver of the said car managed to escape, while the passenger was apprehended, who disclosed his name as Manjinder Singh @ Bhindi. The search of the car led to recovery of liquor meant for sale in Haryana. It is alleged that in the meantime Jagsir Singh, Bhupinder Singh, Ram Juari, Sonu, Vinod alongwith 9/10 other persons came at the spot and had an altercation with the police and gave beatings to the police officials and also tore the uniform worn by ASI Gurbaz Singh and also damaged the car.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they have falsely been implicated in the present case and that it is highly unlikely that the petitioners would have attacked the police party, which was duly armed.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sandeep Singh has submitted that pursuant to interim directions, the petitioners have already joined the investigation and are cooperating with the police and that their custodial interrogation is not required.
5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and keeping in view the fact that the petitioners are stated to have joined investigation and are fully cooperating with the police and that their custodial interrogation would not be required, all the petitions, as

such, are accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 08.07.2020 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

16.11.2020
Vimal

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**