

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9843 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.02.2020

Rameshwar Groach
Versus
....Petitioner

State of Haryana and ors.
...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Yudhveer Singh, Advocate for Mr.Arjun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

Reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 has been filed in Court. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Learned Sr. DAG, Haryana states that in view of the petitioner already having joined the post for which the writ petition has been filed, the writ petition has become infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, he does not press the writ petition.

4. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

February 27, 2020
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No