

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4351-2020

Date of decision-02.07.2020

Rajni and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. D.S.Virk, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 and 5 as they have solemnized marriage on 26.06.2020 against their wishes.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners that the girl is minor and a complaint has been made by the parents of the girl to the Police and pursuant to that parents of petitioner no. 2 were called to the Police Station. A perusal of the representation, dated 26.06.2020 (Annexure P-3) does not reveal the manner and mode of execution of the alleged threat to the petitioners. The bald averment regarding threat at the hands of private respondents is not worth acceptance, particularly when the said respondents have adopted the remedy

in law by making a complaint before the Police.

In view of the above, no case is made out for invoking the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

02.07.2020
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No