

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.221-a

CWP No.9925 of 2019

DATE OF DECISION: January 24, 2020

DR. NAVEEN MITTAL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Kuldeep V. Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manpreet Singh Longia, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Tarun Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon order dated 06.01.2020 passed in CWP No.22669 of 2019 titled as ***Dr. Mohini Garg and others vs. State of Punjab and others*** to contend that the said order covers the case of the petitioner. The paper of the petitioner has been evaluated by two examiners only whereas the requirement of the rules is that the evaluation has to be done by four examiners, out of which, two must be external examiners.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents admits that the issue in this writ petition is as has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner. He, however, states that issue of e-evaluation is also involved.

CWP No.9925 of 2019

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not pressing the issue of e-evaluation.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of order passed in ***Dr. Mohini Garg (supra)***.

January 24, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No