

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.4274 of 2020
Date of Decision :30.06.2020

Joginder alias Joginder Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the Criminal Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 15 as also for the issuance of directions to the official respondents to take action against the private respondents on the basis of complaints/representations Annexure P/2 and P/3 both dated 22.06.2020.
3. Learned counsel contends that respondent No.4 had filed a petition before the Wakf Tribunal, which was dismissed, whereupon, a revision petition was filed against the said decision dated 01.10.2008, in which order of status quo was granted. Learned counsel further contends that respondent No.4 in connivance with the other respondents is threatening the petitioner with dire consequences and is also bent upon dispossessing the petitioner from the suit property whereas the petitioner is in possession of the suit property. In the circumstances, the petitioner submitted complaints/representations Annexure P/2 and P/3 dated both dated 22.06.2020, but no action has been taken on the same till date and in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the complaints/representations Annexure P/2 and P/3 both dated 22.06.2020, in

4. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage.
5. Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioner.
6. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the possession of either of the parties or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed in the complaints/representations Annexure P/2 and P/3 both dated 22.06.2020, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the complaints/representations as referred to above, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and take action if any, as warranted as per law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

30.06.2020

Rajneesh/Rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No