

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

118

CWP No.8968-2020.

Date of Decision: 01.07.2020.

Naveen Yadav and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for petitioners.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

The petitioners have filed present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to allot the petitioners permanent place of posting for the post of the Primary (PRT) Teacher as per the options taken by the respondents from them and to transfer the services of the petitioners in the Districts as per the options given by them. The petitioners have prayed for issuance of further directions to the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioners on parity with the case of the similarly situated candidates in CWP No.31823 of 2019 as the same benefit has already been granted to the petitioners therein.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners were selected for the post of JBT/PRT and were offered appointment by respondent No.2. The post of JBT/PRT is that of district cadre and the Department had taken options giving preference for six districts from all the candidates at the time of submitting the applications and counseling. The petitioners had given their options accordingly and they are entitled to allocation of district as per their choice in accordance with their merit. The petitioners have been given posting in districts far away from their residence and places of their choice whereas persons who are lower in merit as compared to them have been allocated districts of their choice. Similarly situated candidates had filed CWP No.31823 of 2019 which was allowed by this Court vide order dated 02.11.2019 with directions to consider their representation within a period of two months keeping in view availability of posts in the options given by the petitioners therein. Therefore, the respondent No.2-Director Elementary Education, Haryana may be directed to consider the representation of the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Complete copy of paper book has been supplied to him.

Learned State Counsel has no objection if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to dispose of the representation of the petitioners.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Elementary Education,

Haryana to look into the matter and to dispose of the representation dated 01.03.2020 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners in accordance with law by way of passing a speaking order expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, keeping in view the availability of posts in the districts of their choice as per their merit in comparison to other selected candidates.

A copy of this order be supplied to learned State Counsel and be also sent to respondent No.2 through e-mail for information/requisite compliance.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

01.07.2020
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No