

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32859-2015(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- 04.02.2020**

JOGINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr.Bhupender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

H. S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that only a complaint in the matter should have been filed and FIR could not have been lodged. He has referred to two judgments rendered by this Court in **CRM-M-7268-2008** titled as ***Pardeep Kumar Garg and others Versus The State of Punjab and others*** decided on 23.05.2012 & ***Rakesh Kumar Versus State of Haryana; 2011 (1) RCR (Criminal) 102.***

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that on completion of investigation, challan has been filed but charge is yet to be framed against the accused. The petitioner-accused has the remedy to raise all pleas before the trial Court at the time of framing of charge and even if formal charge is framed against him, he can file revision petition against such order.

Under the circumstances, no ground is made out to quash the FIR and the petition is disposed of relegating the petitioner to that remedy.

4th February, 2020

shabha

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*