

211.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17010-2020**

Date of decision:16.07.2020

RANJIT SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

----

Present:     Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate,  
                  for the petitioner.

Mr. J.P. Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

----

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.** *(Oral)*

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.91 dated 27.08.2019 registered under Sections 302, 379, 149 of IPC at Police Station Zira, District Ferozepur.

The aforesaid FIR was registered at the behest of Gurpartap Singh who is the father of the deceased Harmanjot Singh. As per the FIR when the son of the complainant has not returned home, efforts were made to trace him by the complainant in association with his relatives Gurpreet Singh and Rajwinder Singh and when they reached near the Haveli, Mehar Singh Wala, the complainant and his associates found that the motorcycle of

the son of the complainant was parked outside the Haveli. Baldev Singh, Baltej Singh, Jagdev Singh alias Megha, Bachittar Singh and Thana were bringing out the complainant's son Harmanjot Singh while dragging him from the gate of shop situated in the Haveli.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the present is a case where the deceased has died because of overdose of some intoxicant. There is no allegation that the petitioner has inflicted injury to the deceased in any manner. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 17.04.2020. The allegations in the case are of administering of some poisonous substance, whereas the deceased has died because of some overdose of intoxicant. Though there are other cases against the petitioner under Section 307 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, but he is on bail.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record.

Heard.

Though the custody certificate does not reflect the aforesaid two cases, but the learned State counsel has not controverted that the petitioner is not on bail. In the absence of any specific injury on the person of deceased at the hand of the petitioner and in the circumstances of the case, this Court deems is appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or

indirectly.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

16.07.2020  
sanjeev

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |