

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17012-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.09.2020

Harjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Banka, DAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 101 dated 11.6.2020 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda.

On 30.06.2020, this Court passed the following order :-

“Case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 101 dated 11.6.2020 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one Gobinda purchased the car in question from the complainant

and the petitioner is only a broker and he has signed the agreement to sell as a witness on 25.10.2019.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. He has not disputed the fact that the petitioner has signed the agreement to sell as a witness.

Adjourned to 10.9.2020.

State will file reply/status report on or before the next date of hearing.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation and if he is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. ”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 30.06.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ranjit Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for any further investigation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 30.06.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

10.09.2020

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No