

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9037-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 5.8.2020

Vaish Education Society and another **.....Petitioner.**

vs.

State of Haryana and others **.....Respondents.**

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 5.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

CM-6507-CWP-2020

Application is ordered and the written statement is taken on
record along with Annexures R-5/1 to R-5/13.

CWP-9037-2020

Vaish Education Society (Regd.), Rohtak, and its President, Vikas Goyal, filed this writ petition assailing the order dated 29.5.2020, whereby the District Registrar, Firm and Societies, invalidated the meeting of the governing body of the first petitioner society held on 23.5.2020. Further directions were sought by them in relation to the restriction placed by the District Magistrate-cum-Disaster Management Authority, Rohtak, upon conducting another meeting, *vide* letter 8.6.2020.

The fifth respondent in the writ petition, being the General Secretary of the first petitioner society, filed a written statement with supporting documents.

While so, Ms. Palika Monga, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, informed this Court that the Government of Haryana, in exercise of power under Section 68(1) of the Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012, appointed the Municipal Commissioner, Rohtak, as the Administrator of the first petitioner society for a period of one year or till the conduct of the elections to its general body, whichever is earlier. She would further state that in compliance with this order, the Municipal Commissioner, Rohtak, took charge as the Administrator of the first petitioner society on 31.7.2020.

In light of these developments, the grievance presently ventilated on behalf of the first petitioner society through its President, the second petitioner herein, no longer survives for consideration.

In that view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

5.8.2020

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no