

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8822-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.07.2020**

Pritpal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the applicants-petitioners.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

CM-5937-2020

Prayer in this application is for impleading the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur as respondent No. 13 in this petition.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur is impleaded as respondent No. 13 in this petition.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CWP-8822-2020

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would feel satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No. 13-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to look into the grievances of the petitioners, who are successful bidders of *Shamlat* land of the Gram Panchayat, however, the private respondents are not allowing them to cultivate the land.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Monica Chhibber, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 7, 13 and Mr. Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 8-Gram Panchayat.

Ms. Arti, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of private respondent Nos. 9 to 12.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing for other respondents have no serious objection to the limited prayer of the petitioners.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 13-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to ensure that necessary action for maintaining law and order is taken if required at the spot and no hindrance is caused by any unlawful activity.

06.07.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No