

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17013-2020 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 16.07.2020**

Naveen ..... Petitioner  
Versus

State of Haryana ..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

\*\*\*

Present : Ms. Nevadita Malik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)**

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case arising from FIR No.45 dated 08.02.2020, registered under Sections 302, 201, 120-B, 34 IPC, at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that petitioner was arrested on the disclosure statement of co-accused Himanshu. According to his statement, petitioner harboured then after committing murder of Aniket @ Abhiles. Conclusion of trial may take a sufficient long time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner any more in jail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Considering overall facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Consequently, petitioner Naveen is ordered to be released on bail during pendency of trial, if not required in any other case, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, co-accused shall not be permitted to take the benefit of this bail, who actively participated in the murder of Aniket @ Abhiles.

16.07.2020  
mamta

(RAMENDRA JAIN)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No