

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17132 of 2020
Date of decision: 01.07.2020**

Amrik Singh Sandhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to take stern legal action against private respondent Nos. 4 and 5 on account of forging records and trying to usurp the property of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that wife of the petitioner is co-owner of Kothi No.318-L along with her sister namely Inderjit Chaudhary, situated at Model Town, Jalandhar. The said Kothi is measuring about 18 Marlas. This property came to the wife of petitioner by way of a Will dated 13.08.2008 (Annexure P-1), executed by her mother. Complete details of the property, as to how the same was registered in favour of mother of his wife, have been given in the petition as well as representation dated 02.06.2020 (Annexure P-8).

Grievance of the petitioner, now, is that respondent Nos.4 and 5 are in the process of grabbing the properties of the petitioner and his wife, who are senior citizens. In this regard, a detailed representation dated

02.06.2020 (Annexure P-8) has ben given to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

At this stage, present petition is disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to look into the representation dated 02.06.2020 (Annexure P-8) and take action in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

01.07.2020
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No