

CRWP-4240-2020

Date of decision : 30.6.2020

Ashok Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. S.K. Tandon, Advocate
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case has been taken up by way of Video Conference as per instructions in view of COVID-19 pandemic.

Petitioner is 60 years old. He states that respondents No. 4 is his son and respondent No. 5 is his daughter in law. The grievance of petitioner is that his sons, namely, Kuldeep and Yashpal have been physically and mentally torturing him. His son Kuldeep has forcibly taken his ATM card, cheque book and all his ID proofs and thrown him out of house. They have fraudulently drawn Rs. 40000/- from his account. Due to beatings and tortures of his son, petitioner was left with no option but to leave the house. On 7.8.2019, respondents No. 4 and 5 beaten him and forcibly got his signatures on three blank cheques. Petitioner has submitted complaint dated 13.8.2019 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No. 2 and also sent a copy of same to CM Window, but no action has been taken thereon.

Instead, on 1.9.2019 petitioner was called to police station where he got his statement recorded and mentioned in detail all the facts regarding threats being meted out to him by his family members. On 27.9.2019, petitioner submitted an application to CM Window. Thereafter, he was called by reader of DSP on 11.12.2019. Petitioner again gave his statement on 16.12.2019. Instead of taking any action on the complaint of petitioner, DSP, Yamuna Nagar called petitioner several times and pressurized him to withdraw his complaint. Petitioner apprehends danger to his life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. Petitioner submitted detailed representation dated 3.1.2020 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No. 2 but no action has been taken thereon.

CRWP-4240-2020

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2020.06.30 18:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Without commenting anything on the merits of case and without going into veracity of facts stated in the petition, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to look into representation dated 3.1.2020 (Annexure-P-3) submitted by petitioner and take such action as may be warranted in law.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

30.6.2020

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No