

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205**CRM-M-16889-2020 (O&M)****Date of decision: 17.08.2020****Ajit Kumar****.....Petitioner****versus****State of Haryana****....Respondent****CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri****Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate, for the petitioner.****Mr. Rajiv Goyal, DAG, Haryana.****Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate for the complainant.***(the aforesaid presence is being recorded through video
conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in Virtual Court).***********GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner-Ajit Kumar, stated to be aged 30 years, has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr. P.C. *inter alia* praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.649 dated 28.10.2019, under Sections 323, 302, 452, 506, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, based on pleadings, submits that the co-accused Kailash Chand, has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 10.06.2020 passed in '*CRM-M No.13280 of 2020 tilted as Kailash Chand Vs.State of Haryana*'. Learned counsel however submits that as noticed in the order dated 10.06.2020, co-accused Kailash Chand was alleged to have given blow of *Lath* on the hand of the complainant. Learned

counsel further makes submissions on merits, but, it is just and appropriate to record that the role attributed to the petitioner is that Ajit gave a blow of Lath on head of mother of the complainant. Learned counsel then makes reference to postmortem report of the deceased, to submit that only first and second injuries were on the head.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant, submits that the accused is not entitled to the concession of bail, because of the postmortem report, which itself shows that there were two injuries and as per the allegations in the FIR, second injury is attributed to the petitioner. He further makes reference to subsequent para of the FIR, where it is alleged that a few days ago, these people had beaten up the brother-Raj, father of the complainant and had also broken the leg of the complainant, in which the FIR has been registered.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Mahesh Kumar, submits that there are total of 26 witnesses. He then submits that the challan in the present case was presented on 26.03.2020 and the case is now fixed for hearing on 17.08.2020. He further submits that there are three FIRs against the petitioner. On asking of Court, he further submits that the complainant in the said FIRs, is the complainant/complainant party in the present case also.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was arrested on 02.01.2020. He then submits that due to the present COVID-19 situation, detention of the petitioner in jail is dangerous to his life, therefore, petitioner may be granted concession of regular bail.

In view of the peculiar facts as noticed above, considering the existing situation due to COVID-19 and the fact that trial is likely to take some time, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the release of the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds. In addition to the bail bonds, the petitioner shall deposit Rs. 3 lacs within one month from the date of his release, which shall be disbursed equally to three injured persons towards their medical expenses, as mentioned in the FIR. It is also made clear that in case, the petitioner is subsequently found involved in any other case, the prosecution is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail. However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed as an expression on merits of the case.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

17.08.2020

jyoti3

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No