

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8830 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 17.08.2020

Gurbachan Singh SarariPetitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Nikhil Kumar Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioner.
None for the respondents.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

With the consent of learned counsel for the petitioner, the matter is being taken up and heard via video conferencing.

When confronted with the fact that the petition is not maintainable in view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in cases ***Authorized Officer, State Bank of Travancore and another v. Mathew K.C. 2018(3) SCC 85*** and ***ICICI Bank Ltd. and others v. Umakanta Mohapatra and others 2019(13) SCC 497***, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent-bank and the petitioner are already in the process of settling the matter between them. He further submits that the petitioner has already given a proposal for settlement before the respondent bank and therefore, while permitting the petitioner to withdraw the petition, he may be granted liberty to pursue the settlement that is pending before the respondent bank and thereafter take remedies provided under the law against the adverse action, if any, taken by the bank.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

17.08.2020

ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No