

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33415 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION :- January 30, 2020

Shiv Kumari Tanwar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate
for Mr. Kunar Dawar, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant submits that the present petition has become infructuous since the appeal in question has been finally decided by Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad on 27.3.2019, inasmuch as the appeal has been dismissed.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. In view of the statement made by learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2-complainant, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

January 30, 2020

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No