

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
101

CRWP No. 4286 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 4th August, 2020

Bhinder Singh @ Parvinder Singh @ Chuhi

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent

CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vishal Khatri, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Grewal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.

1. During the course of arguments in the present petition, which challenges an order passed by the Respondent No. 3 rejecting the Petitioner's application for parole, learned counsel for the Petitioner drew attention to one additional ground on which he was seeking parole, that his mother is 60 years of age, in bad health and there is no able member in the family to take care of her. However, learned counsel for the Petitioner is unable to produce any medical certificate substantiating such ground and it appears that he has not produced any such document before the Respondents as well.

2. While not being inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the Respondent No. 3 at this stage, this Court permits the Petitioner to make a fresh application for parole to the Respondents on the above ground of his mother's health, subject to his producing the documents to substantiate such a plea. The Respondents will, if a fresh application is made, consider the

same on merits, after verifying the medical status of the mother of the Petitioner and whether grant of parole on that ground is justified. If such application is made within 10 days from today, it will be decided by the Respondents not later than 1 month from the date of receipt of such application.

3. The writ petition is disposed in above terms.

(S. MURALIDHAR)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

4th August, 2020
Jk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No