

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8736-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.06.2020**

Anil Kumar and anr.

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have submitted representations (Annexures P-10 & P-11) dated 17.06.2020 in respect of the grievance, which is the subject matter of the writ petition and they would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that identically situated candidates had approached this Court by way of CWP No.8410 of 2020, which has been disposed of vide order dated 22.06.2020 by directing the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners therein and the relief prayed for in that petition has been allowed to them. The order dated 22.06.2020 reads as under: -

“Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.

2. Learned counsel states that the petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P/34 dated 06.03.2020 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition and that the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel further states that identically situated candidates had approached this Court by way of CWP No.31823 of 2019, which was disposed of by directing the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners therein and the relief prayed for in that petition has been allowed to them. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/34 dated 06.03.2020 by taking into account the decision of this Court in CWP No.31823 of 2019.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Upasana Dhawan, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that she has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioners.

6. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent

No.2 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as contained in representation Annexure P/34 dated 06.03.2020, after taking into account the decision of the respondents pursuant to the directions of this Court in CWP No.31823 of 2019, in case the same is applicable in the facts and circumstances of the case. Needful be done within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that she has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioners.

Without commenting upon merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, this writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as contained in representations (Annexures P-10 & P-11) dated 17.06.2020, after taking into account the decision of the respondents pursuant to the directions of this Court in CWP No.31823 of 2019, in case the same is applicable in the facts and circumstances of the case. Needful be done within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

30.06.2020
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No