

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRWP-4424-2020

Date of decision: 03.07.2020

Shanti and others

....Petitioners

versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioners.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioners-Shanti, Meena and Amrita, have jointly filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer seeking directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty with property of the petitioners. It is further prayed that respondents No.4 to 6 be directed not to interfere in personal lives with property and liberty of the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu, APP for U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the respondents has made reference to an order dated 15.06.2020, passed by this Court in CRM-M-15180-2020, to submit that in fact, the present petition deserves to be dismissed in view of

the aforesaid order.

This Court is in agreement with the submission of learned counsel for the respondents and accordingly, the directions specifically prayed for, cannot be granted.

Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioners submits that representation dated 23.06.2020 (Annexure P-4) was submitted by the petitioners and no action has been taken by the authorities on the same.

This Court deems it appropriate to direct the official respondents to examine the representation, if any, submitted, and if, on the examination of the same, there is actually any threat perception, the authorities may proceed in accordance with law.

Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

03.07.2020
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No