

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-16741-2020 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 14.07.2020**

\*\*\*\*

Sunil

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Sansar Kundu, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG Haryana

\*\*\*\*

**GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)**

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

The petitioner Sunil stated to be aged 28 years, has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.673 dated 19.11.2019 under Sections 302/307/323/34/506 IPC registered at PS City Jind.

Learned counsel based upon the pleadings in the petition submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He submits that the challan has been presented in this case and case was fixed for framing of charges. There is no allegation that the petitioner had allegedly given any injury or beating to the deceased. He has been only remotely connected on account of one motor cycle which was in his own name.

Notice of motion.

On the asking, Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent through video conferencing.

Learned State counsel submits that challan in the present case has been presented; there are a total of 23 witnesses out of which none have

been examined and charges are yet to be framed. On further asking, he informs that no other co-accused has been granted bail by this Court.

Faced with this, counsel for the petitioner then submits that the petitioner is in custody since 23.11.2019. He then submits that due to COVID situation retention of the petitioner in jail is dangerous to his life and otherwise also the trial is likely to take some time.

In view of the above, this Court deems it appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Duty Magistrate/trial court concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

Disposed of.

**14.07.2020**

*vishal shonkar*

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

**(Girish Agnihotri)**  
**Judge**

**Yes/No**  
**Yes/No**