

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16705-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.07.2020

Parveen

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Rohit Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG Haryana

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

The petitioner Parveen aged 22 years has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for grant of regular bail. He has been allegedly involved in case FIR No.0006 dated 08.11.2019 under Sections 34/506 & 392 IPC (added later) registered at PS City Safidon, District Jind.

Learned counsel based upon the pleadings submits that the petitioner was arrested on 21.03.2020. He further submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. Moreover, there is no eye-witness to authenticate the prosecution version. Still further, vide Annexure P2, the complainant has stated that the first party has no objection in case the instant FIR against the second party is quashed by the Hon'ble Court as the matter has been amicably settled. Learned counsel based upon this document states that he would be separately applying for quashing of the FIR.

Notice of motion.

On the asking, Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent through video conferencing.

Learned State counsel informs that challan in the present case has been presented on 04.05.2020.

Faced with this, counsel then submits that due to COVID situation retention of the petitioner in jail is dangerous to his life and otherwise also the trial is likely to take some time.

In view of the above, this Court deems it appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/heavy surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Duty Magistrate/trial court concerned.

It is also made clear that in case the petitioner is found involved in any other case or if the above averments are found to be incorrect, the prosecution shall be at liberty to apply for cancellation of bail.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

Disposed of.

14.07.2020

vishal shonkar

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No