

201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.16716 of 2020
Date of Decision:12.10.2020

BIKRAMJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.206 dated 19.12.2019, registered at Police Station Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 201 IPC.

On 26.06.2020, this Court passed the following order :-

“Case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.206 dated 19.12.2019, registered at Police Station

Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 201 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that only allegation against the petitioner is that he has only shown the land to the complainant. He is not named in the FIR. Neither he is a beneficiary nor entered into an agreement to sell with the complainant at any stage. Learned counsel for the petitioner further points out that at this stage, compromise dated 30.03.2020 (Annexure P-3) has been executed between the complainant and accused.

Notice of motion for 27.08.2020.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and submits that one Atul Kumar impersonated Satnam Singh and the petitioner and other co-accused have actively participated in the commission of offence.

Let notice be issued to respondent No.2 for the date fixed.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and if he is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 26.06.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI- Rashpal, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for any further investigation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 26.06.2020, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

October 12, 2020

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No