

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.204

CWP No.13816 of 2005

DATE OF DECISION :January 16, 2020

KEWAL ADITYA AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The writ petition pertains to the issue of fee hike by respondent No.3. Allegedly, vide bills dated 06.07.2005, increased fee was demanded from the students. Thus, the present writ petition was filed for quashing the fee hike and for restraining the respondents from raising the fee unless and until the matter regarding fee was settled by the committee for approval of fee structure.

2. The writ petition was admitted and stay was declined vide order dated 02.09.2005.

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 21.10.2005, the writ petition was withdrawn by petitioner No.18.

4. On the previous date i.e. 23.10.2019 actual date notices were issued to the petitioners. Petitioners No.5, 14, 15 and 17 have been served

CWP No.13816 of 2005

but none has put in appearance on their behalf. The remaining petitioners have not been served either because they are not residing at the given address or because they have gone abroad.

5. Keeping in view the nature of the prayer made in the writ petition, it appears that the same has been rendered infructuous. The petitioners would have completed their medical course long back and thus, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the writ petition pending.

6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

January 16, 2020

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No