

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(214)

CWP-8810-2020

Date of Decision: December 02, 2020

Raj Kumar Gupta

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed seeking medical reimbursement in respect of the treatment which, the wife of the petitioner had undertaken.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that a sum of Rs.3,15,362/- out of the total bill of Rs.4,47,135/- has already been released to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the claim of the petitioner is more than the amount released, it is clear that the respondents have not released the entire amount due to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that whatever has been found admissible to the petitioner, as per law, has been released to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to approach the respondents seeking the reasons for denial of the total reimbursement as claimed by the petitioner, by filing an appropriate representation.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioner in this regard, appropriate order will be passed on the same within a period of one month from the receipt of the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the petitioner does not wish to press this petition any further.

Disposed of as not pressed.

December 02, 2020

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No