

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4183-2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.06.2020

Rajni Bala

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of respondents no.4 and 5.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length and pleadings on record have been perused.

As per averments made in the petition, marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent no.4 in the year 2006. Two children aged about 12 years and 10 years respectively have been born out of the wedlock. Respondent no.5 is stated to be the brother-in-law of the petitioner.

Even though, counsel urges that respondent no.4 is an alcoholic and repeatedly used to harass the petitioner in terms of physical assault as also demand for a car, yet, there are no details regarding such assertion coming forth.

Still further, counsel submits that petitioner has left the matrimonial home and is now residing in a rented accommodation and is doing the work of stitching and labour in order to earn her livelihood.

No details have been furnished as regards the date when the petitioner has chosen to leave the matrimonial home. It has been conceded in the petition itself that both the minor children are presently in the custody of the husband.

On a specific query having been put counsel concedes that till date no complaint has been lodged by the petitioner before the concerned authorities.

The averments made in the petition are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

In the considered view of this Court, no intervention is warranted.

Petition is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

26.06.2020

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No