

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.16657 of 2020
Date of Decision: October 07, 2020

Krori Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jagraj Singh Khiva, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K.Banka, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.250 dated 15.06.2020, under Section 61 Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station, Sadar Mansa, District Mansa. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 26.06.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that previously there is no case against the petitioner much less of the similar nature, who has been falsely implicated in the present case wherein recovery has been shown from his house. It is pointed out that the petitioner has political background and he & his wife remained Panch of the village Khiala Kalan. According to him, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner in these facts may not

be necessary.

Notice of motion for 07.10.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the police authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel, on instructions from SI Manpreet Singh, further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 26.06.2020 is made absolute.

October 07, 2020
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No