

CRM-M-16626-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16626-2020

Date of Decision: 04.08.2020

Narender Singh @ Happy

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.512 dated 08.06.2020, registered at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra, under Section 21 NDPS Act, 1985.

In pursuance of notice of motion, learned State counsel has filed the status report by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ladwa, through e-mail. Print out of the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been indicted in the present FIR on the disclosure statement of co-accused, Shiv Shankar, who was arrested on the spot and recovery of 15.25 gram of heroin was also effected from him.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail, apprises this Court that

CRM-M-16626-2020

though the petitioner was indicted in the present FIR on the disclosure statement suffered by the co-accused, yet the fact remains that there are as many as eight other FIRs registered against the petitioner, out of which he has been acquitted in one case. He also draws the attention of this Court towards the fact that on 07.06.2020 i.e. one day prior to the registration of present case, FIR No.508, under Sections 21 and 29 of NDPS Act, Police Station City Thanesar, was also registered against the petitioner and his petition seeking anticipatory bail in that FIR was dismissed vide order dated 26.06.2020 passed in CRM-M-16646-2020 by a Coordinate Bench.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As the petitioner has been involved in as many as eight other cases, including two under the NDPS Act, no benefit can be granted to him in this case merely because he stands indicted on the disclosure statement made by the co-accused.

In view of the above, I do not find any ground to grant the anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

04.08.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No