

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

CWP-9089-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 06.07.2020

VINOD KUMAR NANDA

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the petitioner is senior to the private respondents in the provisional seniority list issued on 01.01.2017 which is the basis of making promotions, the private respondents have been promoted by ignoring the claim of the petitioner. In the provisional seniority list dated 01.01.2017, the petitioner is at serial No.8620 while respondents No.5, 6 and 7 are at serial No.8623, 8634 and 8647 respectively. He also contends that it appears to be an error on the part of the official respondents and they be directed to rectify the mistake.

Learned State counsel contends that he has received instructions that case of the petitioner is being considered along with other candidates and if found meritorious, he shall also be allowed to participate in counseling and to all consequential benefits including promotion.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the State,
learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition.

The petition stands disposed of as not pressed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.07.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No