

206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 16607 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 25.09.2020

Savita

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. R.K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.45 dated 05.07.2019 registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and 66-C and 66-D of the Information and Technology Act, 2008 at Police Station Cyber Crime, Gurugram, District Gurugram.

The operative part of the order dated 26.06.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been implicated in the FIR in question on the basis of a false and frivolous version of fraudulently luring the complainant into paying Rs.12,500/- as advance in respect of a personal loan of Rs.07 lakhs which the petitioner had assured would be sanctioned by a bank. He further contends that neither had the petitioner approached the complainant nor any such assurance was given to the complainant with respect to the sanction of any loan. He

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

also further contends that in order to show her bona fides the petitioner has already deposited an amount of Rs.12,500/- through the account of her father in the account of the complainant. Hence, nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner for which her custodial interrogation would be required.

Notice of motion for 25.09.2020....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 26.06.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Vinod Kumar, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 26.06.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

25.09.2020

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No