

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16991-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.09.2020

Satish @ Kuuku

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate for
Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-14659-2020

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-16991-2020

This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner in case FIR No.32 dated 12.02.2019, under Sections 346/34 of the IPC (Sections 302/364/201/411/404/120-B of the IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Baroda, District Sonipat.

[2]. The petitioner has remained in detention since 13.03.2019.

[3]. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner contends that the dead-body, over which, the Post Mortem was conducted, was not actually identified by anyone including the complainant and that in the circumstances, his client should not be detained at this stage, for an indefinite period.

[4]. It transpires that after completion of investigation, charges were framed in the Ld. Trial Court as far back on 17.01.2020. Thereafter, the prosecution evidence could not commence, on account of onset of COVID-19 Pandemic, although as many as 34 witnesses have been cited in the challan. It now appears that the trial is unlikely to conclude in a reasonable short period of time due to prevailing Pandemic.

[5]. As such, without commenting any further on the merits of the present case as a whole, but in view of the detention undergone by the petitioner, the prayer of the petitioner for regular bail is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail subject to appropriate terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

[6]. Disposed off.

23.09.2020

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No