

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-17202-2020

Date of decision : 17.07.2020.

Bhupinder

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aditya Bhushan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.293 dated 02.05.2020, under Section 12 of the POCSO Act, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner had entered the house of the complainant with a bad intention to tease his daughter. No other overt act is attributed to the petitioner. He also submits that the petitioner is in custody for over 2 ½ months and is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel contends that although challan has been filed but charges are yet to be framed.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 2 ½ months;

he is not involved in any other case; the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 17, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No