

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16623-2020
Date of decision: 25.11.2020

Sumit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. F. S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 226 dated 09.06.2020, registered under Sections 380/454/506 of the IPC at Police Station Sadar Ambala, District Ambala.

The operative part of the order dated 26.06.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR as there is an unexplained delay of one year in filing the complaint of theft of gold/silver ornaments against the petitioner on the basis of which the present FIR has been filed. It has further been contended that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the I.O. Hence, his custodial interrogation would not be required.

Notice of motion for 25.09.2020.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in

pursuance to the order dated 26.06.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, on telephonic instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 26.06.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

25.11.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No