

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-16537-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 29.07.2020

SAGAR

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.97 dated 29.02.2020, under Sections 379-B, 392, 397, 120-B and 201 IPC and 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Arya Nagar, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein it has been alleged that the accused had snatched the mobile phone and tried to snatch cash at gun point from the agency of the complainant. He further contends that mobile phone and cash was left at the agency itself and not recovered from the petitioner. He also contends that the petitioner is about 20 years of age, he is in custody for over 4 months and is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel contends that although challan has been filed but charges are yet to be framed.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is about 20 years old, he is in custody for over 4 months, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.07.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No