

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16587-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.07.2020

Rajesh

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

The petitioner Rajesh aged 30 years has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for grant of regular bail. He has been allegedly involved in case FIR No.88 dated 07.04.2020 under Section 61 of the Excise Act and Sections 201/436/188/34 IPC registered at PS Sadar Sirsa.

Learned counsel based upon the pleadings at the outset submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail vide order dated 19.05.2020 passed in **CRM-M-12529-2020 (Sandeep vs. State of Haryana)** (P2). Besides another co-accused has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 16.06.2020 passed in **CRM-M-15300-2020 (Paramveer Singh vs. State of Haryana)** (P4). Counsel thus seeks parity.

Notice of motion.

On the asking, Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent through video conferencing.

Counsel then submits that due to COVID situation retention of the petitioner in jail is dangerous to his life and otherwise also the trial is likely to take some time.

In view of the above, this Court deems it appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Duty Magistrate/trial court concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

Disposed of.

13.07.2020

vishal shonkar

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes/No
Yes/No