

211

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 16519 of 2020 (O&M)  
Decided on: 16.09.2020

Vinay Sardana

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present :** Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 347 dated 16.10.2019 registered under Section 63 of the Copyright Act, 1957 and Section 420 IPC (added later), at Police Station City, Gurugram.

The operative part of the order dated 25.06.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

*“...Counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of HP India, the Police recovered duplicate cartridges/toners of the Company from one Vijender, owner of Sri Ganpati Computer and on his disclosure, the name of the petitioner was nominated in the FIR with the allegation that the petitioner has supplied the same.*

*Counsel for the petitioner further submits that, in fact, the petitioner has supplied the original*

**CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING**

*cartridges/toners to the said Company vide tax invoice dated 16.10.2018 (Annexure P-3) for Rs.18,113/- and the allegations against the petitioner are yet to be ascertained and the petitioner is ready to join the investigation as he has not sold any duplicate cartridges/toners.*

*Notice of motion....”*

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 25.06.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 25.06.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**16.09.2020**  
*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No